Reservoir depletion at The Geysers geothermal area, California, shown by four-dimensional seismic tomography
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[1] Intensive geothermal exploitation at The Geysers geothermal area, California, induces myriads of small-magnitude earthquakes that are monitored by a dense, permanent, local seismometer network. Using this network, tomographic inversions were performed for the three-dimensional $V_p$ and $V_p/V_s$ structure of the reservoir for April 1991, February 1993, December 1994, October 1996, and August 1998. The extensive low-$V_p/V_s$ anomaly that occupies the reservoir grew in strength from a maximum of 9% to a maximum of 13.4% during the 7-year study period. This is attributed to depletion of pore liquid water in the reservoir and replacement with steam. This decreases $V_p$ by increasing compressibility, and increases $V_s$ because of reduction in pore pressure and the drying of argillaceous minerals, e.g., illite, which increase the shear modulus. These effects serendipitously combine to lower $V_p/V_s$, resulting in a strong overall effect that provides a convenient tool for monitoring reservoir depletion. Variations in the $V_p$ and $V_s$ fields indicate that water depletion is the dominant process in the central part of the exploited reservoir, and pressure reduction and mineral drying in the northwest and southeast parts of the reservoir. The rate at which the $V_p/V_s$ anomaly grew in strength in the period 1991–1998 suggests most of the original anomaly was caused by exploitation. Continuous monitoring of $V_p$, $V_s$, and $V_p/V_s$ is an effective geothermal reservoir depletion monitoring tool and can potentially provide information about depletion in parts of the reservoir that have not been drilled.
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1. Introduction

[2] The Geysers geothermal area in the northern Californian Coast Ranges is the largest exploited, vapor dominated geothermal reservoir in the world. It extends from approximately sea level, which is at about 1 km below the surface, down to at least 4.0 km below sea level (bsl) and has a surface area of ~75 km². Electricity generated at The Geysers comprises ~6% of northern California’s electricity supply.

[3] The geology of The Geysers consists of mainly metamorphosed marine sedimentary and igneous rocks belonging to the Franciscan assemblage. The reservoir is bounded by the Collayomi fault zone to the northeast and the Mercuryville fault zone to the southwest (Figure 1). It is contained in fractured metagraywacke and an underlying felsite batholith. Felsite-hosted alteration and vein mineralization partially controlled by hydrothermal breccia increase permeability and fracture density within the steam reservoir [Hulen and Nielson, 1993]. The origin of the heat source at The Geysers is not well understood but it is postulated to be a magmatic intrusion or a body of partial melt in the midcrust [Thompson, 1992]. Teleseismic $P$ wave arrival time delays and gravity data are consistent with such a body [Iyer et al., 1981; Blakely and Stanley, 1993].

[4] Most of the heat is thought to be stored in the rock matrix and not in liquid pore water in the reservoir. Water...
flows out of the pores and flashes to steam in the boreholes during extraction. Significant commercial development at The Geysers began in 1960 with a 12 MW electrical power plant. Production increased at a rate of 63 MW per year until 1981. Between 1981 and 1989 power generation increased by 150 MW per year to peak at an unsustainable 1800 MW in 1987, when steam was extracted at a rate of $13.6 \times 10^6$ kg/h [Barker et al., 1992].

As a result of such a high rate of steam withdrawal, reservoir pressure declined steadily at an average rate of 11% per year. Pressure declined from 1987 by $2.3 \text{ MPa}$ to reach $1.2 \text{ MPa}$ by the mid-1990s. Since then, methods such as reducing turbine inlet pressure, infill drilling, and water reinjection have been used to mitigate steam reservoir pressure decline. In 1995, due to a collapse in energy prices, economic curtailments were imposed resulting in very low production levels [Barker and Pinogol, 1997]. Thermal cycling damage to wells occurred during this period, well-head pressure rose, and steam production declined further, especially during the winter months of 1995–1996 and 1996–1997 (A. Pinogol, personal communication, 2000). Water continued to be injected throughout this period, which reduced depletion. The normal reservoir temperature is $240 \text{ C}$ and this has remained fairly constant throughout the exploitation period.

A field-wide permanent seismic network has been in place since 1989. For most of its operational period it was run by the UNOCAL Corporation. It is currently operated by Calpine. The network comprises 22 stations and covers the geothermal reservoir fairly uniformly. Eight stations have three-component sensors and the others only vertical sensors (Figure 1). Incoming data are digitized at 100 samples/s. The network changed little between 1991 and 1998, and is supplemented by permanent stations of the Northern California Seismic Network (NCSN) operated by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). An additional two small, dense networks have been operated intermittently in the northwest Geysers by the Central California Power Agency (CCPA) 1988–1989 and 1993–1994 [Romero et al., 1994] and in the southeast Geysers by the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratories (LBL) 1992–1995 and 1997–1999 [Kirkpatrick et al., 1997]. In addition, experiment-specific temporary networks have been operated at The Geysers from time to time.

A 15-station, three-component digital network was operated by the U.S. Geological Survey during April 1991 [Julian et al., 1996]. Using data collected with this network, combined with data from the 22-station UNOCAL network and local NCSN stations, high-quality $V_p$ and $V_p/V_s$ local earthquake tomography (LET) images of the geothermal
Table 1. Numbers of Data, Damping Values, Final RMS Residuals, Variance Reductions, Increase in the $V_p/V_s$ Anomalies, and the Change in $V_p/V_s$ Relative to the 1991 Epoch for the Four Post-1991 Inversions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of events</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of data</td>
<td>2494</td>
<td>4043</td>
<td>3178</td>
<td>3762</td>
<td>4853</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of $P$ arrivals (Number of $P$ picks per event)</td>
<td>2268 (14)</td>
<td>3444 (14)</td>
<td>2522 (17)</td>
<td>3193 (11)</td>
<td>4128 (14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of $S$ arrivals (Number of $S$ picks per event)</td>
<td>226 (1.4)</td>
<td>599 (2.5)</td>
<td>656 (4.5)</td>
<td>569 (1.9)</td>
<td>725 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_p$ damp, $s$/km</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_p/V_s$ damp, $s$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final RMS residual for arrivals, $s$</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final RMS residual for $S$ arrivals, $s$</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance reduction, %</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum amplitude of $V_p/V_s$ anomaly at sea level</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in $V_p/V_s$ anomaly relative to 1991 at sea level, %</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Those inversions used the structure calculated for 1991 as a starting model; n/a, not available.

2. Method

[10] Data recorded on the UNOCAL network were obtained from the Northern California Earthquake Data Center. Well-recorded earthquakes providing uniform coverage of the reservoir were extracted for February 1993, October 1996, and August 1998 (Table 1). Good spatial coverage of the reservoir was achieved for all epochs (Figure 2). Few events were located in the northwest and southeast parts of the reservoir as these areas have relatively low seismic rates. Most events were shallower than 4.0 km bsl, which is the approximate base of the seismogenic layer.

[11] Arrival times were measured by hand using the interactive seismogram processing program epic. Where shear wave birefringence was noted the earliest $S$ arrival was picked. $S$ waves were picked only on horizontal components for all epochs. Only the highest-quality arrivals were selected, and the precision to which the onsets of the waves could be identified and picked is 0.01 s (one sample) for $P$ waves and 0.02 s (two samples) for $S$ waves. The program simuls12 [Thurber, 1983; Evans et al., 1994] was used to invert the data. This program uses an iterative, damped least squares method to invert arrival times, simultaneously estimating earthquake locations and the three-dimensional $V_p$ and $V_p/V_s$ fields. The velocity structures are parametrized by values defined at the nodes of a three-dimensional grid, between which the $V_p$ and $V_p/V_s$ values are assumed to follow linear functions. We used nodes spaced at intervals of 1 km horizontally and vertically throughout most of the study volume (Figure 1). The grid extended from $-2$ km bsl (i.e., 2 km above sea level) down to 7 km bsl although only structure from about $-1$ km bsl to 2 km bsl is well resolved.

[12] Julian et al. [1996] and Ross [1996] obtained a tomographic model for The Geysers using a composite data set recorded in April 1991 on the UNOCAL and NCSN networks, and the temporary 15-station network deployed by the USGS. They followed a “graded inversion” approach. An initial inversion was conducted using a coarse nodal spacing of 10 km and a one-dimensional starting model obtained by first inverting the data using the program velest [Kissling et al., 1994]. The resulting coarse three-dimensional model was interpolated to provide a starting model for an inversion with nodal spacing of 4.0 km. The nodal spacing was successively reduced to 2.0 and 1.0 km in the same manner. The final grid involved 1232 nodes. Damping values at each step were selected using damping trade-off curves that show the relationship between model complexity and data variance reduction for different damping values [Evans and Achauer, 1993]. A value of 1.74 was used as an a priori $V_p/V_s$ ratio with the final 1-km grid.

[13] For our study, we inverted only the UNOCAL data from April 1991. We used the final 1991 model of Julian et al. [1996] and Ross [1996] as a starting model. The results for $V_p$, $V_p/V_s$, and $V_p/V_s$ are shown in the left-hand panels of Figures 3a–3c, along with contours of the spread function, resolution, and standard error [Toomey and Foulger, 1989], which show the area of highest solution quality. All three parameters show that the central part of the reservoir is uniformly well imaged. Simuls12 inverts for $V_s$ and $V_p/V_s$, and $V_s$ is calculated from those results. Information regarding the errors in the $V_p$ field is thus contained in the error fields for $V_p$ and $V_p/V_s$, and therefore we do not plot error
Inversions of the subsequent epochs of data all used this model as the starting model and direct inversions were performed using nodes spaced at 1 km. The same nodal configurations were used for all inversions. The final RMS residual travel times are all in the range \(0.015–0.022\) s for \(V_p\) and \(0.035–0.052\) for \(V_s\) (Table 1). Variance reductions were small for all inversions except April 1991 because the starting model used was very close to the final model. The variance reduction increased for later inversions, however, reflecting the fact that progressive structural change caused the starting model from 1991 to be increasingly further from the final model. The spread function, resolution, and standard error contours for subsequent years were all similar to those of the 1991 epoch and thus are not shown separately in the right-hand four panel pairs of Figures 3a and 3c.

3. Results

3.1. \(V_p\), \(V_s\), and \(V_p/V_s\) Anomalies in April 1991

First-order spatial variations in the \(V_p\) and \(V_s\) structures at The Geysers determined from inversion of the April 1991 data are similar, and dominated by a substantial low wave speed volume in the northwest Geysers and higher wave speeds beneath the central and southeastern part (Figures 3a and 3b, left). These features probably reflect mostly variations in lithology since the effects of variations in the reservoir state are second-order effects [Julian et al., 1996]. In the northwest Geysers at sea level and 1.0 km bsl low \(V_p\) and \(V_s\) anomalies characterize the caprock and normal reservoir that overlies the high-temperature reservoir. Velocities are higher in the central and southwest reservoir in rocks of the Franciscan assemblage. A strong, coherent low \(V_p/V_s\) anomaly correlates well with the steam reservoir (Figure 3c, left). It is wider to the northwest and southeast than in the middle, reflecting the general shape of the reservoir known from drilling. The anomaly does not extend beneath the extreme northwest and southeast parts of the reservoir. Station and earthquake coverage is good in the northwest Geysers, and if the anomaly extended beneath this area it would have been detected. To the southeast, however, station coverage is poor and few earthquakes occur, and the anomaly is imaged to the edge of the well-resolved volume. The anomaly might thus extend farther south, but this cannot be resolved with the current experiment. The strength of the low anomaly reaches 9% and was interpreted by Julian et al. [1996] to indicate a volume where much of the pore fluid was low-pressure vapor [Barker et al., 1992; Barker and Pinogol, 1997], compared with the higher-pressure, liquid-dominated pore fluid in rocks outside the anomaly area.

3.2. Changes in the \(V_p\), \(V_s\), and \(V_p/V_s\) Anomalies Between April 1991 and August 1998

Progressive, significant changes in the \(V_p\), \(V_s\), and \(V_p/V_s\) fields occur with time (Figures 3a–3c). In general, the
The pattern of anomaly growth is smooth with time. The December 1994 epoch \cite{Foulger et al., 1997} is included for comparison purposes. Those data were picked by a different analyst, and the consistency of those results with the new epochs we study provides a useful indication of analyst-dependent variation in the results. In general, $V_p$ and $V_s$ decrease with time in the northwest and far southeast Geysers, and along the southern boundary of the reservoir. $V_p$ and $V_s$ increase at sea level beneath the northern boundary of the reservoir, but decrease at 1 km bsl beneath the same area. The patterns of change in $V_p$ and $V_s$ are broadly similar. Areas of coherent anomaly change trend northwest-southeast in general, parallel to the tectonic strike of the area. At sea level, $V_p$ varies by $-8.3\%$ to $+19.2\%$ from the layer mean in 1991, but by 1998 these variations have increased to $-10.2\%$ to $+23.6\%$. Changes in $V_s$ are greater than those in $V_p$ at both times. At sea level, $V_s$ varies by $-8.4\%$ to $29.3\%$ in 1991 but by 1998 this has increased to $-10.4\%$ to $41.4\%$. At 1.0 km bsl changes in $V_p$ and $V_s$ with time are less than at sea level.

The strength of the $V_p/V_s$ anomaly progressively increased between 1991 and 1998 (Figure 3c). As is the case for the $V_p$ and $V_s$ fields, the December 1994 epoch is consistent with the other epochs in the sense of the anomaly, but deviates from the trend regarding amplitude, reflecting analyst-dependent variations. At sea level, by 1993 two distinct areas of $V_p/V_s$ anomaly growth had developed with increases in anomaly strength of up to $0.6\%$. Both anomalies increased progressively in strength and size with time and by August 1998 a third negative $V_p/V_s$ anomaly had developed farther north. The increase in anomaly strength by 1998 was up to a maximum of $3.4\%$.

At 1 km bsl, in February 1993 a single area of anomaly growth is detected in the center of the reservoir.
Figure 3. (continued)
This increased in strength by October 1996 and a second area of anomaly growth developed farther to the south. By 1998, these two areas of growth had increased further in strength, were up to 4.6% stronger than in 1991, and a third area of anomaly growth developed farther to the south. By December 1996 and a second area of anomaly growth existed to the north.

### 3.3. Development in Integrated \( V_p \) and \( V_s \) Anomalies

The variations in \( V_p \) and \( V_s \) at sea level and 1 km bsl are shown in Figure 4 as variations in the degree of analyst-dependent variation to be expected. (a) Change in integrated anomaly for \( V_p \) and \( V_s \) at sea level, (b) same as Figure 4a but for 1.0 km bsl, and (c) the change in integrated (negative) \( V_p/V_s \) anomaly with time at sea level and 1.0 km bsl.

This increased in strength by October 1996 and a second area of anomaly growth developed farther to the south. By 1998, these two areas of growth had increased further in strength, were up to 4.8% stronger than in 1991, and a third area of anomaly growth existed to the north.

At 2 km bsl a single area of anomaly growth was detected directly below the strongest, central area of anomaly growth at 1 km bsl. This anomaly increased in strength by up to 4.6% between April 1991 and August 1998.

The variations in \( V_p \), \( V_s \), and \( V_p/V_s \) with time at sea level and 1 km bsl are shown in Figure 4 as variations in the spatially integrated anomalies. These are, for each parameter, the arithmetic sum of the deviations from the average starting value for each depth. The total \( V_p \) anomaly at both sea level (Figure 4a) and 1 km bsl (Figure 4b) is not significantly different in 1998 from its 1991 value. As mentioned above, the December 1994 epoch is less consistent with the general trend than the other epochs. The lower values for the integrated \( V_p \) anomaly for the February 1993 and October 1996 epochs are consistent with the very low December 1994 value, but given the errors in our results (see section 4) this trend is unlikely to be significant. The integrated \( V_s \) and \( V_p/V_s \) anomalies increased monotonically between April 1991 and August 1998. As for \( V_p \), the December 1994 epoch deviates somewhat from the trend of the other three post-1991 epochs in \( V_p/V_s \).

The relationships of \( V_p/V_s \) to \( V_p \) and \( V_s \), along with regression lines, are shown in Figure 4a. All velocity nodes that changed between April 1991 and August 1998 at sea level and 1 km bsl are plotted. At both sea level and 1 km bsl, \( V_p/V_s \) is weakly anticorrelated with \( V_p \) but strongly anticorrelated with \( V_s \). No statistically significant correlation between \( V_p/V_s \) and \( V_p \) was established. In the case of \( V_s \), a significant negative correlation with \( V_p/V_s \) was detected with a slope of \(-0.47 \pm 0.06\) at sea level and \(-0.33 \pm 0.04\) at 1.0 km bsl.

The results of Romero et al. [1995] for the northwest Geysers (Figure 5b) and Kirkpatrick et al. [1997] for the southeast Geysers (Figure 5c) and the results of a stochastic model simulation [Boitnott, 1995] (Figure 5d) show a similar result, with variations in \( V_p/V_s \) apparently being caused in general by variations in \( V_s \). Anomalously low values of \( V_p/V_s \) apparently result, in general, from anomalously high values of \( V_s \).

### 4. Discussion

The \( V_p/V_s \) anomaly in the steam reservoir volume increased in strength fairly regularly over the 7-year period studied, which is in itself compelling evidence that the progression is real and not an artefact of errors. It is nonetheless important to appreciate potential sources of bias in the results. The size of the data sets and distribution of events varied between epochs (Table 1 and Figure 2). The effect of this was investigated by splitting the 1993 data set into two halves, each containing ~120 events, and inverting each half separately. The differences in the final \( V_p/V_s \) structures were smaller than 0.015 [Gunasekera, 2001]. This suggests that for this experimental setup, little is gained by using more than ~120 earthquakes. The picking of arrival times, in particular of \( S \) wave arrival times is highly analyst-dependent. The effect of this was explored by comparing our results with those from an inversion of data from December 1994 (Figures 3 and 4) [Foulger et al., 1997]. The December 1994 data set involved significantly more picks per event. In particular, the number of \( S \) wave picks per event (4.5) was over twice what we picked for the other inversions (1.4–2.5), and the final RMS residual for \( S \) arrivals was exceptionally large. This suggests that the overall quality of picks included in that inversion was poorer. We further investigated the December 1994 epoch by repicking and relocating a subset of events [Gunasekera, 2001]. The results suggested that the events may have been picked systemati-
Figure 5. The relationship between $V_p/V_s$, $V_p$, and $V_s$. (a) Results from this study. Shaded dots indicate data from sea level, and solid dots indicate data from 1 km bsl. Regression lines calculated are shown (see text for details). (b) Results of Romero et al. [1995] for the northwest Geysers. Different symbols correspond to different depths. (c) Results of Kirkpatrick et al. [1997] for the southeast Geysers. Different symbols correspond to different depths. (d) Results from a stochastic model simulation [Boitnott and Kirkpatrick, 1997]. Open symbols represent a dry matrix, and solid symbols represent a wet matrix.
Figure 6. Schematic illustrating the effects of processes caused by exploitation at The Geysers on \( V_p, V_s, \) and \( V_p/V_s \). Large arrows indicate the dominant effect, and small arrows indicate subsidiary or negligible effects. The three processes have differing effects on \( V_p \) and \( V_s \), but all cause \( V_p/V_s \) to decrease.

...results presented here, and shown in Figure 3, are robust estimates of the pattern, and conservative estimates of the strength of anomaly growth.

[26] Factors that affect \( V_p, V_s, \) and \( V_p/V_s \) at The Geysers include lithology, temperature, pore pressure and pore fluid phase. Of these, the temperature of the reservoir has remained fairly constant in recent years (M. Stark, personal communication, 2000), despite exploitation. Thus changes in pore pressure, pore fluid phase, and the water content of minerals caused by steam removal are probably responsible for the changes observed in the anomaly, and the effects of these factors on \( V_p, V_s, \) and \( V_p/V_s \) are shown schematically in Figure 6.

[27] The effect of replacing liquid pore fluid with vapor has been quantitatively studied in the McElroy oil field, west Texas. The McElroy oil field is a good analog of The Geysers. Porosity and permeability are variable, with an average porosity of 10% and permeabilities in the range 0.01–90 \( \times 10^{-11} \) cm\(^2\). The reservoir rock comprises dolostones and evaporite cement and was flooded with \( \text{CO}_2 \) at a depth of 900 m below the surface in order to improve oil recovery. At the time of \( \text{CO}_2 \) flooding the pore fluid was half water and half oil. The effect of flooding was monitored seismically. \( V_s \) was found to be reduced by 2–4% on average by \( \text{CO}_2 \) flooding, and up to 9% in areas of high porosity, while \( V_p \) was little affected [Wang et al., 1998]. However, associated local increases in pore pressure accompanying the \( \text{CO}_2 \) flooding reduced \( V_s \) as a result of reduction in the shear modulus. In the case of the McElroy field, reduction in \( V_p \) and \( V_s \) went hand in hand and the net result was little change in \( V_p/V_s \) [Wang and Nur, 1989].

[28] Similar behavior has also been observed in laboratory experiments with reservoir rock samples, where an increase in pore pressure from 8.3 to 15.9 MPa at constant overburden pressure of 20 MPa caused \( V_p \) to decrease by 1.7% and \( V_s \) by 2.6% [Wang et al., 1998]. An increase in \( V_s \) with decreasing pore pressure was also observed in water-filled Berea sandstone at constant temperature of 145° C and other conditions representative of a steam reservoir. In that experiment, \( V_p/V_s \) was observed to decrease from 1.78 to 1.67 as pore pressure decreased by 0.3 MPa and water converted to steam [Ito et al., 1979]. At The Geysers, the removal of steam has the effect of causing pore water to be replaced by vapor, accompanied by a pressure decrease [e.g., Lipman et al., 1978]. Reservoir pressure data are proprietary, but pressure is known to have decreased from ~3.5 to ~0.8 MPa by the mid-1990s [Barker and Pinogol, 1997]. These two processes have the effect of decreasing \( V_p \) and increasing \( V_s \), both of which will lower \( V_p/V_s \) [e.g., Boitnott, 1995]. This situation contrasts with that of the McElroy field where \( \text{CO}_2 \) flooding is accompanied by a pressure increase and the effects on \( V_p/V_s \) tend to cancel out [e.g., Wang and Nur, 1989].

[29] A third effect may decrease \( V_p/V_s \) still further. Water saturation has an unusually large chemical-mechanical weakening effect on argillaceous minerals such as illite, which are abundant at The Geysers. This has been explained using modified Biot poroelastic theory [Boitnott, 1995; Boitnott and Kirkpatrick, 1997]. As pore fluid is removed and the minerals dry, and \( V_p/V_s \) is lowered because \( V_s \) increases (the “spaghetti effect” [Rombauer and Becker, 1975, pp. 212–213]). Thus, three different processes at The...
Geysers related to fluid saturation serendipitously reinforce one another to decrease $V_p/V_s$ (Figure 6).

[30] The effect of saturation is depth-dependent [Boitnott and Kirkpatrick, 1997]. At moderate depths within the reservoir $V_p/V_s$ is largely controlled by the rock matrix properties, with a higher $V_p/V_s$ for a saturated matrix than a dry matrix [Boitnott and Kirkpatrick, 1997]. At shallower depths, the effect of saturation on the rock matrix is diminished by the effect of field-scale compliant features such as joints and faults [Boitnott and Kirkpatrick, 1997]. The clear anticorrelation of $V_p$ and $V_p/V_s$ and the lack of a significant correlation between $V_p$ and $V_p/V_s$ (Figure 5) suggest that the effects of pressure decrease and mineral drying are predominant at The Geysers.

[31] While the progressive growth in $V_p/V_s$ ultimately results from depletion of liquid water in the reservoir, comparison of the separate $V_p$, and $V_s$ fields (Figures 5a and 5b) suggests that different effects may be dominant in different parts of the field. At sea level, the most southeasterly and the most northwesterly areas of $V_p/V_s$ anomaly growth (Figure 3c, top right) correlate with areas of progressive increase in $V_p$ of up to 0.05 km/s from 1991 to 1998. This is also the case for the deeper continuation of the southeasterly anomaly at 1 km bsl This suggests that the strongest effects in this area are pressure decrease and mineral drying. In contrast, the middle anomaly at sea level and its continuation down to 1 km bsl are predominately caused by decrease in $V_p$ of up to 0.27 km/s from 1991 to 1998, suggesting that reduction in compressibility due to the replacement of pore water by steam is the predominant effect. This is in agreement with the known higher liquid content of the central Geysers compared with the northwest Geysers.

[32] Variation in the dominant mechanism causing seismic wave speed evolution at The Geysers may be partly related to the pattern of water reinjection. This is being progressively increased in an effort to slow reservoir decline. It increased from 33% to 58% of the fluid extracted between 1994 and 1996 [Barker and Pinogol, 1997]. Most of this brine, however, is reinjected in the extreme southeast of the reservoir, outside our resolvable area, and the amounts of reinjectate and the locations of the injector wells are proprietary. It is thus not possible currently to assess quantitatively the effect of reinjection.

[33] The low-$V_p/V_s$ anomaly observed at The Geysers correlates with the volume where the pore fluid is thought to be vapor-dominated, where pore pressure is relatively low and argillaceous minerals relatively dry [e.g., McLaughlin, 1981]. Julian et al. [1996] concluded that The Geysers might have had an associated low-$V_p/V_s$ anomaly prior to development, since such conditions probably existed naturally then. Between April 1991 and August 1998 the anomaly grew in strength by up to $\sim 0.5\%$/yr at 1 km bsl At this rate, the anomaly observed in 1991, which had a maximum strength of $\sim 9\%$, would have taken $\sim 18$ years to develop. Rates of steam extraction are presented by Barker et al. [1992]. During the period 1991–1998, the rate of steam extraction was $\sim 7). 9 \times 10^{10} \text{kg/yr}$. Prior to this, it increased from low levels in the 1960s to peak at $\sim 11 \times 10^{10} \text{kg/yr}$ in 1987, and decreased subsequently. Over the 32-year period 1960–1991, the average rate of steam production was $\sim 5 \times 10^{10} \text{kg/yr}$, or equivalent to 18–23 years of production at $7 \times 9 \times 10^{10} \text{kg/yr}$. This suggests that the total production at The Geysers could account for the whole of the $V_p/V_s$ anomaly. On the other hand, low-$V_p/V_s$ anomalies have been reported for unexploited geothermal areas. For example, Foulger et al. [1995] and Miller et al. [1998] report $V_p/V_s$ anomalies as strong as $-4\%$ in the area of most abundant hot springs and fumaroles in the unexploited Grensdalur geothermal field, Iceland. Thus, although exploitation at The Geysers could conceivably account for all of the observed $V_p/V_s$ anomaly, it is possible that an anomaly existed there originally. The association of low-$V_p/V_s$ anomalies and geothermal reservoirs might be important to the study of new prospects.

[34] Repeated LET has also been used to investigate the migration of pore fluid at Mammoth Mountain, an active volcanic cone in Long Valley caldera, California [Foulger et al., 2003]. Mammoth Mountain has been degassing up to $2 \times 10^{8} \text{kg/yr}$ of CO$_2$ since 1989. Significant changes in the $V_p$, $V_s$, and $V_p/V_s$ fields were detected that are consistent with the migration of CO$_2$ into the center of the volcanic edifice. There, pressure increased, while in peripheral areas pressure decreased. In contrast to the situation at The Geysers, the effects of CO$_2$ flooding and pressure changes at Mammoth Mountain on $V_p/V_s$ partially cancelled out, and changes in the $V_p/V_s$ field were less diagnostic of fluid migration. The reinforcement of changes in the $V_p/V_s$ anomaly by the various reservoir effects at The Geysers, and perhaps other geothermal fields, renders $V_p/V_s$ a serendipitously useful parameter for monitoring geothermal reservoir evolution.

5. Conclusions

[35] Commercial exploitation of The Geysers geothermal area is causing changes in local seismic structure that are detectable using repeated LET at 2-year intervals. The progressive depletion of pore fluid causes the replacement of pore liquid with vapor. This increases compressibility, thereby reducing $V_p$, and also causes pore pressure decrease and the drying of argillaceous minerals such as illite. Both of these processes increase the shear modulus and $V_s$. These three effects reinforce one another in reducing $V_p/V_s$.

[36] In the period April 1991 to August 1998, three areas in the reservoir exhibited reductions in $V_p/V_s$ of up to $4.6\%$ consistent with these effects. Examination of the separate $V_p$ and $V_s$ fields indicates that water depletion was the most important process in the central part of the exploited reservoir, with pressure reduction and mineral drying being stronger effects in the northwest and southeast parts of the reservoir.

[37] The rate at which the $V_p/V_s$ anomaly grew in strength between April 1991 and August 1998 suggests that the entire anomaly might have been caused by industrial activity since the early 1960s. However, the observation that unexploited geothermal fields also exhibit low-$V_p/V_s$ anomalies suggests that only part of the low-$V_p/V_s$ anomaly may have been caused by exploitation.

[38] The degree to which these results can be calibrated quantitatively is limited because much of the well data at The Geysers, including the quantities of fluid extracted and reinjected, are proprietary. Continuous monitoring of the three-dimensional $V_p$, $V_s$, and $V_p/V_s$ fields is an effective...
method of monitoring reservoir depletion at The Geysers, and probably also at other seismically active exploited geothermal areas. This technique may, in particular, provide information about depletion in parts of the reservoir that are being tapped indirectly but have not been drilled.
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