Trace element budgets and (re-)distribution during subduction-zone ultrahigh pressure metamorphism: Evidence from Western Tianshan, China
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Abstract

We have conducted an LA-ICP-MS in situ trace element study of garnet, epidote group minerals, phengitic muscovite and paragonite in rocks of basaltic and sedimentary protolith from an ultrahigh pressure metamorphic belt along Western Tianshan, China. The data are used to evaluate the capacity of these minerals for hosting incompatible elements in response to subduction-zone metamorphism (SZM). The results confirm existing studies in that the presence and stability of these minerals largely control the geochemical behaviors of elements during SZM.

We found that redistribution of rare earth elements (REEs), Th and U into newly-formed minerals during progressive SZM precludes the release of these elements from the down-going ocean crust, which contradicts the common perception in models of slab-dehydration and flux-melting. This suggests that additional processes, such as the involvement of supercritical fluids or hydrous melts formed at depth are required to supply these elements to the mantle wedge for arc magmatism. In addition, the ready release of large ion lithophile elements (LILEs) by different minerals, and the high immobility of REEs in rocks of basaltic protolith indicate that the contribution of altered ocean crust after SZM may not be responsible for the correlated Sr (LILEs) by different minerals, and the high immobility of REEs in rocks of basaltic protolith indicate that the contribution of altered ocean crust after SZM may not be responsible for the correlated Sr–Nd (Hf) isotope systematics observed in oceanic basalts. That is, subducted ocean crust that has gone through SZM cannot be the major source material for ocean island basalts.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Subduction zones are the most important tectonic environment on Earth in terms of the extent, magnitude, and diversity of mass exchange between the Earth's surface and the deep mantle. Subduction zones provide a highly selective physical and chemical filter, conceptualized as the “subduction factory” (e.g., Tatsumi and Kogiso, 2003). The main process that occurs during subduction is metamorphism. In fact, it is the subduction-zone metamorphism (SZM) that is considered to trigger incompatible elements in response to subduction-zone metamorphism (SZM). The results confirm existing studies in that the presence and stability of these minerals largely control the geochemical behaviors of elements during SZM.

We found that redistribution of rare earth elements (REEs), Th and U into newly-formed minerals during progressive SZM precludes the release of these elements from the down-going ocean crust, which contradicts the common perception in models of slab-dehydration and flux-melting. This suggests that additional processes, such as the involvement of supercritical fluids or hydrous melts formed at depth are required to supply these elements to the mantle wedge for arc magmatism. In addition, the ready release of large ion lithophile elements (LILEs) by different minerals, and the high immobility of REEs in rocks of basaltic protolith indicate that the contribution of altered ocean crust after SZM may not be responsible for the correlated Sr–Nd (Hf) isotope systematics observed in oceanic basalts. That is, subducted ocean crust that has gone through SZM cannot be the major source material for ocean island basalts.

O’Hara, 2003). Therefore, it is crucial to understand the genuine behaviors of chemical elements in response to the SZM in order to obtain an improved understanding of subduction-zone magmatism and chemical geodynamics.

Seafloor materials which have experienced hydrothermal alteration and weathering become highly altered (termed “altered oceanic crust” or AOC; Staudigel et al., 1995; Kelley et al., 2003). The AOC undergoes dehydration in subduction zones, releasing fluids which selectively carry fluid-soluble or mobile elements (e.g., large ion lithophile elements, LILEs) into the overlying mantle wedge, while leaving the fluid-insoluble or immobile elements (e.g., high field strength elements, HFSes) in the “residual” slab. Melting of the so preferentially enriched mantle wedge is then expected to produce basaltic rocks with the characteristic arc signatures (McCulloch and Gamble, 1991). The residual slab retaining the immobile elements is thus transported into the deep mantle. Because of the often different behaviors of radioactive parent and radiogenic daughter elements, the residual slab with altered parent/daughter (P/D) ratios during the SZM will determine the nature and extent of mantle isotopic variability as reflected in oceanic basalts (e.g., enriched mantle I [EMI], enriched mantle II [EMII], and high “μ”
The recent recognition of ultrahigh pressure (UHP) hydrous minerals exceeding the amphibole stability field (e.g., lawsonite, phengite; Poli and Schmidt, 1995; Schmidt and Poli, 1998) indicates a geochemically more complex SZM process than previously thought, involving not only discontinuous dehydration but also continuous reactions (Poli and Schmidt, 1995). Furthermore, recent experimental studies and studies on natural rocks have revealed the strong capacity of these UHP minerals for hosting petrologically important trace elements (e.g., Hermann, 2002; Feineman et al., 2007; El Korh et al., 2009). Hence, the retention of these elements may result in a complex element transfer process during the SZM.

With varied geothermal conditions in different subduction zones, the stability of minerals, fluid compositions (aqueous fluids, hydrous melts or supercritical fluids; Kessel et al., 2005; Hermann et al., 2006; Mibe et al., 2011) and reaction kinetics (Becker et al., 2000; Carlson, 2002; John et al., 2004; Beinlich et al., 2010) may all affect elemental behaviors during the SZM (e.g., Spandler et al., 2003). In addition, the amount of fluids and the nature of fluid flow (e.g., channels/veins, high permeable mélangé zones; Zack and John, 2007; John et al., 2008) may also exert important controls on the interactions between fluids and metamorphic rocks during the SZM. The higher the fluid/wall rock ratio is, the more likely the elements can migrate (Bebout, 2007) and the mobile elements could be homogenized. Therefore, studies of geochemical behaviors of specific elements in response to the SZM and their controls are essential, especially for natural rocks that have experienced the SZM in an open system. Microanalysis techniques (e.g., Laser Ablation-Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry, LA-ICP-MS) allow in situ trace element analysis of minerals with high precision and accuracy, which should improve our understanding of elemental behaviors and the mineralogical controls during the SZM (e.g., El Korh et al., 2009; Schmidt et al., 2009).

In this study, we focus on the distributions of LILEs and rare earth elements (REEs) in different mineral assemblages of blueschist-to eclogite-facies metamorphic rocks of basaltic and sedimentary protoliths from the UHP metamorphic belt of Western Tianshan, China, in order to understand trace element behaviors in response to the mineral stability at different metamorphic stages along a specific P–T path. Evidenced by the occurrence of coesite, this metamorphic belt of Western Tianshan in recent studies is considered to have experienced >2.5 GPa subduction-zone metamorphism (Lü et al., 2008, 2009; Lü and Zhang, 2012). Considering that our eclogitic samples are from this UHP metamorphic belt, they can be used to evaluate the geochemical consequences of SZM at depths greater than 75 km, even though coesite has not been found in the samples we studied (Xiao et al., 2012).

2. Field geology, petrography and bulk-rock geochemical studies: a review

The Western Tianshan Metamorphic Belt (WTMB) in northwest China has experienced Carboniferous UHP metamorphism (Lü et al., 2008, 2009; Lü and Zhang, 2012). This belt is located along the South Central Tianshan Suture, which defines the south boundary of the Yili-Central Tianshan Plate (Fig. 1; e.g., Zhang et al., 2001; Gao and Klemd, 2003), and is thought to continue westward into Kazakhstan (Volkova and Budanov, 1999). The WTMB marks the convergent margin associated with successive northward subduction of the South Tianshan Silurian-Devonian-seafloor and the Tarim Plate (e.g., Gao et al., 1999; Gao and Klemd, 2003).

We have studied rock samples of both basaltic and sedimentary protoliths metamorphosed to blueschist and eclogite facies, in which retrograde alteration is common to varying extents (sample locations are given in Fig. 1b and Table DR1). Metamorphic rocks of sedimentary protoliths contain higher modal abundances of quartz and white micas (including phengitic muscovite and paragonite) and display stronger foliations. The eclogite sensu stricto (where garnet + omphacite > 70 vol.% of the bulk rock; e.g., TS02-3B, TS02-15A, TS02-17b and TS02-32A) is mainly composed of garnet and omphacite, with varying amounts of glaucophane, white micas, epidote group minerals, quartz, carbonate and rutile. The blueschist contains more glaucophane, while epidote amphibolite contains more retrograde amphibole with (sodic-) calcic component and epidote group minerals. No obvious HP-UHP dehydration/transport veins have been found in these studied rocks. Only some small veins cutting through all the early-formed metamorphic minerals, likely produced after the SZM, are observed on a thin section scale.

On the basis of detailed petrography, especially of the mineral assemblage (Table DR1) and the textural relationships (e.g., Fig. 2), together with the estimated metamorphic P–T path for coesite-bearing eclogite from WTMB by Lü et al. (2009), a schematic P–T path for rocks of basaltic protolith from WTMB is given in Fig. 3. We divided four stages with different representative mineral assemblages, including prograde blueschist facies, UHP eclogite facies, retrograde eclogite facies and epidote amphibolite facies.

The characteristic mineral assemblage at this stage is mainly composed of Lws + Czs + Gln + Omph + Grt + Ph + Pg + Rt. Although no coesite has been found in our samples, considering the occurrence of coesite and the absence of diamond in eclogites from Western Tianshan in others’ studies (Lü et al., 2008, 2009), the peak metamorphic condition estimated by Lü et al. (2009; 2.4–2.7 GPa and 470–510 °C) is adapted here as stage 2 (Fig. 3), with the model peak mineral assemblage of Grt + Omph + Lws + Ph + Coe + Rt. The presence of large chloritoid poikiloblasts in sample TS02-01, which points to a pressure of ~2.2–2.4 GPa (Pawley and Holloway, 1993; Lü et al., 2009), is also consistent with the UHP at the peak metamorphic condition. On the other hand, the replacement of lawsonite inclusions by paragonite and clinozoisite in garnet indicates the breakdown of lawsonite, which may happen during retrograde eclogite facies (from stage 2 to stage 3 in Fig. 3), although the timing of this reaction remains debatable. For example, Gao et al. (1999), Gao and Klemd (2003) and Spandler et al. (2003) assumed that it happened during prograde metamorphism from lawsonite blueschist facies to eclogite facies. White micas, especially paragonite, may also be re-produced at this stage, and may replace the rim of garnet (Fig. 2a,f), or include the prior garnet (Fig. 2d), epidote and phengitic muscovite (Fig. 2e). The model mineral assemblage at stage 3 is Grt + Omph + Gln + Czs + Ph + Pg. From stage 3 (retrograde eclogite facies) to stage 4 (epidote amphibolite facies, Fig. 3), earlier glaucophane, omphacite, rutile and garnet were replaced by barroisite (Fig. 2g), retrograde epidote group minerals (Fig. 2c), titanite and chlorite (Fig. 2h).

We have previously used the Nb/Y vs. Zr/Ti diagram (Fig. DR1), an immobile trace-element equivalent of the TAS (total alkali vs. silica) diagram, to divide meta-basaltic rocks into three groups in terms of their large range of Nb/Y ratio within a small range of Zr/Ti (also see Table DR1 for grouping; Xiao et al., 2012). These three groups geochemically resemble OIB (Group 1), MORB contaminated by and/or mixing with continental or arc crust materials (Group 2), and depleted MORB
3. Analytical methods

Mineral compositions (both major and trace elements measured simultaneously) were analyzed in situ LA-ICP-MS on polished thick (100 μm) “thin” sections using an Agilent 7500a equipped with GeoLas 2005 193 Eximer Laser sampler and a Varian 820-MS equipped with the same laser sampler. The repetition rate of laser ablation is 5–8 Hz, and the pit size ranges from 32 to 44 μm. During each analysis, the acquisition times for the background (gas blank) and the sample ablation were 20–30 s and 40–50 s respectively. United States Geological Survey (USGS) glasses BCR-2G, BIR-1G, BHVO-2G and one synthetic glass, GSE-1G, were all used as reference materials.

For mineral in situ trace element analysis, people traditionally choose to normalize to an element of known concentration in the sample, called “internal standard” (e.g., prior analyzed using electron probe micro-analyzer, EPMA). In this study, for anhydrous minerals (i.e., garnet, omphacite, rutile, titanite, feldspar), the concentrations of all elements of interest (both major and trace elements) were analyzed simultaneously through an internal standard-independent calibration method using an ablation yield correction factor (AYCF), together with multiple reference materials for external calibration (see Liu et al., 2008). The comparison with analytical data using scanning electron microscope–energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM–EDS, see published data in Xiao et al., 2012) for major element contents of garnet and omphacite (Fig. DR2) shows that major element contents of anhydrous minerals analyzed using the AYCF LA-ICPMS method developed by Liu et al. (2008) are of high quality with ±10% deviation (except for those elements with very low contents, e.g., Na2O, K2O in garnet, FeO in omphacite). The apparent scatter for MgO and MnO in garnet (Fig. DR2a) reflects the compositional zoning. For hydrous minerals (amphiboles, epidote group minerals, phengitic muscovite, paragonite and chlorite), we used the traditional method, for which we chose 29Si (analytical data of SEM–EDS, see Tables DR5–6, 9 and Xiao et al., 2012) as the internal standard. Off-line data reduction was performed using ICPMSDataCal (Liu et al., 2008). All other analytical details including instrument conditions are given in Liu et al. (2008). The analytical uncertainty and the precision are generally better than 5% and 10% respectively for data analyzed using both methods in terms of repeated analysis of GSE-1G (which contains high trace element concentrations ranging from several ppm to commonly 100s ppm; Guillong et al., 2005; Jochum et al., 2005) (Table DR3). During analysis we purposely avoided inclusions. To reconstruct the trace element budgets, we carefully determined mineral modal abundances using a James Swift automatic point counter (see details in Xiao et al., 2012).
Fig. 2. Photomicrographs of representative rock samples from Western Tianshan, China. Except for (d) and (g) which were taken under plane polarized light, all the other photos are taken under cross polarized light. Mineral abbreviations used in this paper are referred to Whitney and Evans (2010), except: Ca—carbonate; Czs—(clino)zoisite. (a) Epidote-bearing eclogitic blueschist. Lawsonite pseudomorph preserved as box-shaped inclusions, consists of coexisting paragonite and clinozoisite in garnet. One mica grain has replaced the rim of garnet, probably reflecting the rehydrating process during retrograde metamorphism. (b) Omphacite. One idioblastic garnet grain has grown at the expense of prograde clinozoisite nearby, resulting in a resorption grain boundary at the rim of the latter. (c) Epidote blueschist. One garnet is preserved as an inclusion in well-crystallized rhomboeic epidote. (d) One paragonite porphyroblast with a relic garnet inclusion probably reflects the rehydration during retrograde metamorphism. (e) Epidote and phengitic muscovite inclusions preserved in a paragonite porphyroblast indicate that the paragonite is most likely produced through the retrograde rehydration process while epidote and phengitic muscovite inclusions are formed at earlier metamorphic stages. (f) Epidote blueschist. The rim of garnet has been replaced by phengitic muscovite and Mg-hornblende. (g) Eclogitic blueschist. Glaucophane has been overprinted by a barroisite overgrowth rim. An omphacite relict is also included. (h) Retrograde blueschist. Together with chlorite, a titanite grain with a good prismatic crystal shape has largely replaced garnet.
4. Mineral geochemistry

We analyzed garnet (Table DR4), epidote group minerals (Table DR5), white micas (both phengitic muscovite and paragonite; Table DR6), Ti-rich minerals (rutile and titanite; Table DR7), clinopyroxene (Table DR8), amphiboles (Table DR9), feldspar (Table DR8) and chlorite (Table DR9).

4.1. Major element composition

Garnet is almandine rich and shows pyrope component increase towards rims (e.g., TS02-03A in Table DR4) in response to the prograde growth. Clinopyroxene is omphatic (Table DR8). The composition of amphibole is variable, from calcic, sodic-calcic to sodic amphibole (amphibole classification follows Leake et al., 1997), and (sodic-)-calcic amphibole is often present as a retrograde product. Some amphibole crystals show zoning, as evidenced by those with glaucophane cores overprinted by a rim of (sodic-calcic) amphibole. The composition of epidote group minerals is also variable. Allanite is present as the core of some clinozoisite crystals (Lavis, 2005). FeOt and Al₂O₃ are negatively correlated (not shown) due to the complementary Fe³⁺ Al substitution. Parts of epidote grains display zoning with Al₂O₃ increase (FeOt decrease) towards the rim, probably indicating increasing temperature (Deer et al., 1992).

4.2. Mineral trace element systematics

Experimental studies (e.g., Feineman et al., 2007) and studies of natural rocks (e.g., Spandler et al., 2003) have been used to determine preferential partitioning of chemical elements among coexisting minerals as a function of protolith composition and physical conditions. For example, phengitic muscovite has been found to be the major host of K, Ba, Rb and Cs (Sorensen et al., 1997; Becker et al., 2000; Melzer and Wunder, 2000; Zack et al., 2001); garnet is commonly enriched in HREEs (El Khor et al., 2009); epidote group minerals (i.e., epidote, clinzoisite, allanite) and lawsonite are important hosts of REEs, Th, U, Pb and Sr (Nagasaki and Enami, 1998; Becker et al., 2000; Hermann, 2002; Spandler et al., 2003; Feineman et al., 2007); Sr could also reside in carbonate (van der Straaten et al., 2008; Beinlich et al., 2010); rutile and titanite host essentially all the Ti, Nb and Ta in the bulk rock (Stalder et al., 1998); zircon is responsible for hosting almost all the Zr and Hf in the rock (e.g., Rubatto and Hermann, 2005). In the following, we discuss our mineral trace element data.

4.2.1. Garnet

Garnet is rich in middle (M-) to heavy (H-)REEs, up to ~10³ times the chondrite values, but low in light (L-)REEs (Fig. 4a). The content of HREEs is variable even within one garnet crystal, commonly showing a general depletion of HREEs from core to rim (e.g., one garnet inclusion in clinozoisite porphyroblast from TS02-53 in Fig. 4a, one garnet porphyroblast from TS02-03A in Table DR4). In the chondrite-normalized trace element diagram (Fig. 4a), some garnet grains show MREEs > HREEs. Considering the higher contents of MREEs relative to HREEs in some titanite crystals (e.g., the one from TS02-43 in Fig. 4g), the scenarios of MREEs > HREEs in garnet may be resulted from redistribution of MREEs with the transition from titanite with high MREEs to rutile during the prograde metamorphism (also see Spandler et al., 2003; Konrad-Schmolke et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2013). No compositional anomalies seem to be caused by distinctive textures (e.g., inclusions preserved in clinozoisite in Fig. 2c or paragonite in Fig. 2d, relics replaced by chlorite in Fig. 2h). This may suggest the strongest capability of garnet for hosting M-HREEs relative to other minerals (e.g., King et al., 2004). The contents of Nb, Ta and Hf are low; mostly below the detection limit or only a few ppm (Zr can be up to several ppm).

4.2.2. White micas

Both phengitic muscovite and paragonite are rich in Li (generally 10⁶ ppm) and Be (several ppm) (Table DR6). They also display high Ba–Rb–Cs (Fig. 5) and, to varying extent, Pb and Sr (Fig. 6a). Most phengitic muscovite and paragonite crystals have very low concentrations of Nb and Ta, but Nb can be up to a few ppm in several phengitic muscovite crystals.

4.2.2.1. Phengitic muscovite. Among the analyzed minerals, phengitic muscovite has the highest abundances of Ba, Rb, Cs and K, about 2–3 orders of magnitude higher than chondrite values (Fig. 4c). The highly variable LILEs with relatively constant K (not shown) suggest that K is...
stoichiometrically constrained in phengitic muscovite, but Ba, Rb and Cs are not, even though they occupy the K lattice site. No inter-LILE correlations exist, except for a good correlation between Rb and Cs (Fig. 5b,d). The LILE contents in phengitic muscovite crystals of the same generation within a rock of basaltic protolith are constant, but their contents in phengitic muscovite crystals from different rocks of basaltic protoliths, especially those from different groups, are relatively variable (Table DR6 and Fig. 5a,b). This may reflect the effect of compositional inheritance from their protoliths. However, almost all data points representing the phengitic muscovite grains from rocks of basaltic protoliths cluster together in the Ba–Rb–Cs variation diagrams, with several “exotic” data points in Fig. 5a,b. White mica grains from the same rock plot both in the data cluster and as exotic data points. The detailed petrography shows that the most scattered data points within a rock represent retrograde phengitic muscovite crystals. For example, one phengitic muscovite grain replacing the rim of garnet from TS02-15B contains higher Ba, Rb and Cs than two inclusions from the same sample (Fig. 5a,b), although phengitic muscovite inclusions from TS02-15B also show high contents of these elements (Fig. 4c, 5a,b; see data in Table DR6). This may indicate stronger effect of alteration at different stages of the SZM than the protolith inheritance.

Fig. 4. Chondrite normalized (Sun and McDonough, 1989) mineral trace element diagrams (a–g; see Tables DR4–9 for data) and N-MORB normalized (Sun and McDonough, 1989) bulk-rock trace element diagram for representative rocks with different protolith compositions (h; see data in Table DR2). (a) REEs and Y distribution of garnet (gray lines; Prn between Nd and Sm is omitted for clarity). Three black lines represent garnet inclusions. Among others, two black lines with solid and hollow circles respectively represent the core and the rim of a garnet inclusion preserved in clinzoisite porphyroblast from TS02-53. (b) Different groups of epidote group minerals: the extremely enriched group (two black lines with circles); the depleted group (dark gray lines); and the others (light gray lines). (c) Phengitic muscovite (gray lines). Phengitic muscovite inclusions in garnet and paragonite have been highlighted. (d) Paragonite (gray lines). Paragonite inclusions in garnet have been highlighted. Compared with phengitic muscovite, paragonite shows lower LILEs and K but higher Sr and Pb. (e) Omphacite (gray lines). (f) Amphiboles (gray lines). Both the core (amp-c) and the rim (amp-r) compositions for one amphibole grain from TS02-15A have been highlighted for comparison. The (sodic–calcic) rim displays higher HREEs than the sodic amphibole core, probably resulting from the breakdown of garnet during late retrograde metamorphism. (g) Rutile (the dotted black curve) and titanite (gray lines). Two titanite grains discussed in details in the relevant text have been highlighted, i.e. one titanite crystal with the highest HREEs in chlorite replacing garnet (from TS02-05), one titanite grain with high MREEs that has replaced epidote group minerals (from TS02-43). (h) N-MORB normalized trace element diagram of bulk-rock compositions for representative rocks of basaltic protoliths from different groups and of sedimentary protolith. Rock samples, TS02-05 and TS02-47 from Group 1, are also used for reconstruction of trace element budgets in Fig. 7. TS02-50B, TS02-17b and 986-1 are selected as the representative rocks of Group 2, Group 3 and meta-sedimentary rocks respectively. Elements plotted in light-gray areas are those considered as mobile elements discussed in Section 5.2 and in the study of Xiao et al. (2012). This is consistent with the highly variable content of these elements for rocks from a co-genetic group (e.g., comparison between TS02-05 and TS02-47). GLOSS—global oceanic subducted sediments, Plank and Langmuir, 1998. D-MORB—depleted MORB.
In rocks of sedimentary protolith, although no phengitic muscovite of different generations can be identified petrographically, the abundances of Ba, Rb and Cs in phengitic muscovite are more variable within each sample (Fig. 5c,d) than is the case for rocks of basaltic protolith (Fig. 5a,b), which may indicate stronger protolith control and less later SZM effects (Fig. 5c,d). Contents of Li, Sr, and importantly Pb, are also generally higher in phengitic muscovite from rocks of sedimentary protolith than in those of basaltic protolith (Fig. 6a and Table DR6).

### 4.2.2.2. Paragonite.

Paragonite has 10–100 times chondrite values for LILEs (Fig. 4d), and contains up to an order of magnitude higher Sr relative to phengitic muscovite (Fig. 5a,b), which may indicate stronger protolith control and less later SZM effects (Fig. 5c,d). Contents of Li, Sr, and importantly Pb, are also generally higher in phengitic muscovite from rocks of sedimentary protolith than in those of basaltic protolith (Fig. 6a and Table DR6).

The paragonite coexisting with clinozoisite as inclusions in garnet (e.g., TS02-03A, TS02-30, TS02-41) shows lower Ba, Rb, Cs (except one from TS02-03A), and, to a lesser extent, Pb and Sr than other paragonite grains from the same rock (Fig. 4d and Table DR6). Like the case for phengitic muscovite in rocks of basaltic protolith, the “exotic” data points in Fig. 5e,f represent the retrograde paragonite (e.g., one replacing the rim of garnet or one even including a garnet relict, Fig. 2d), which shows generally higher Ba, Cs and Rb than other paragonite grains within the same rock. The difference of these scattered points with the Ba–Rb–Cs data cluster for paragonite in rocks of basaltic protolith thus may also suggest strong controls of alteration at different stages of the SZM on these elements in addition to compositional inheritance from their protoliths.

Paragonite from rocks of sedimentary protolith contains higher Pb and Sr than those from rocks of basaltic protolith (Fig. 6a). A stronger protolith control on Ba, Cs and Rb is also apparent for...
paragenesis from meta-sediments (Fig. 5g,h) as is the case for phengitic muscovite.

4.2.3. Epidote group minerals

Compared with other minerals, epidote group minerals, especially allanite, have the highest Th, U and LREEs (Fig. 4b; up to ~1000 ppm, 100s ppm and 10^5 ppm respectively in allanite, e.g., Table DR5). HREEs, Sr and Pb are also highly enriched, up to 100–1000 times chondritic values (Fig. 4b). The abundances of all these elements, especially LREEs, are highly variable (Fig. 4b), within or between different rocks, or even between different parts of a single epidote grain (shown as the compositional zoning of epidote, e.g., Fig. 6b). Three groups can be distinguished roughly in terms of the extent of LREE enrichments: the extremely enriched group, i.e., allanite (one inclusion in garnet from TS02-08 and the core of a single grain in the omphacitic matrix of TS02-41); the extremely depleted group (TS02-13; TS02-16; TS02-62; one in TS02-43; one in TS02-52; one in TS02-54); and the rest. The large compositional variability may result from several factors, including variable bulk-rock compositions of the protolith rocks (no depleted epidote crystal is from rocks of Group 1 with OIB-like protolith; see Table DR5), the competition of coexisting minerals and the possibly large variation range in mineral-fluid partition coefficients of REEs for epidote group minerals (which is temperature dependent; Brumsann et al., 2001; Feineman et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2011).

(Clino)zoisite inclusions, which coexist with paragonite in garnet, generally show low Sr and Pb, compared with the matrix (clin)zoisite from the same rock (e.g., TS02-30 in Table DR5). In addition, the significant Th–U correlation in epidote group minerals (Fig. 6d) may account for the significant correlation between Th and U in bulk rocks, i.e., \( r = 0.968 \) for epidote group minerals \( N = 68 \) vs. \( r = 0.9516 \) for bulk rocks \( N = 48 \) at >95% confidence levels.

4.2.4. Rutile and titanite

Because of the small grain size, we were only able to obtain reliable analytical data for one rutile grain in this study. It contains the highest Nb and Ta (among all the analyzed minerals, ~100 times chondritic analytical data for one rutile grain in this study. It contains the highest Nb and Ta (among all the analyzed minerals, ~100 times chondritic values (Fig. 4b). The abundances of all these elements, especially LREEs, are highly variable (Fig. 4b), within or between different rocks, or even between different parts of a single epidote grain (shown as the compositional zoning of epidote, e.g., Fig. 6b). Three groups can be distinguished roughly in terms of the extent of LREE enrichments: the extremely enriched group, i.e., allanite (one inclusion in garnet from TS02-08 and the core of a single grain in the omphacitic matrix of TS02-41); the extremely depleted group (TS02-13; TS02-16; TS02-62; one in TS02-43; one in TS02-52; one in TS02-54); and the rest. The large compositional variability may result from several factors, including variable bulk-rock compositions of the protolith rocks (no depleted epidote crystal is from rocks of Group 1 with OIB-like protolith; see Table DR5), the competition of coexisting minerals and the possibly large variation range in mineral-fluid partition coefficients of REEs for epidote group minerals (which is temperature dependent; Brumsann et al., 2001; Feineman et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2011).

(Clino)zoisite inclusions, which coexist with paragonite in garnet, generally show low Sr and Pb, compared with the matrix (clin)zoisite from the same rock (e.g., TS02-30 in Table DR5). In addition, the significant Th–U correlation in epidote group minerals (Fig. 6d) may account for the significant correlation between Th and U in bulk rocks, i.e., \( r = 0.968 \) for epidote group minerals \( N = 68 \) vs. \( r = 0.9516 \) for bulk rocks \( N = 48 \) at >95% confidence levels.

4.2.4. Rutile and titanite

Because of the small grain size, we were only able to obtain reliable analytical data for one rutile grain in this study. It contains the highest Nb and Ta (among all the analyzed minerals, ~10^3 times chondritic values), and Zr and Hf (Table DR7), but relatively lower REEs than titanite (Fig. 4g). The concentrations of HFSEs in titanite are commonly from the same rock (e.g., TS02-05 highlighted in Fig. 4g). LREEs in titanite vary from 100 ppm in allanite, Li is up to tens of ppm (Table DR9). It is notable that the late-formed (sodic–calcic amphibole shows generally higher LILs, Sr, Pb and HREEs than the early-formed Na-amphibole (Table DR9 and Fig. 4f), as clearly shown by those with growth zonings (e.g., Fig. 2g). HFSE contents are low in both amphibole and omphacite, and Ta is often below the detection limit (Tables DR8–9).

4.2.6. Other minerals

Several grains of albite (Table DR8) and chlorite (Table DR9) were also analyzed. Except for Sr and Ba in albite (100s and 10s of ppm, respectively) and Li in chlorite (10s ppm), these two minerals contain low concentrations of all the other analyzed incompatible trace elements, showing their poor hosting capacity.

Because no lawsonite was found (only lawsonite pseudomorphs, replaced by clinozoisite and paragonite), and apatite and zircon are either too small or too rare to be identified petrographically, these minerals were not analyzed in this study.

5. Discussion

5.1. Trace element budgets in UHP metamorphic rocks

Based on the analyzed mineral trace element concentrations and mineral modal abundances through point counting, we reconstructed the trace element budgets for two representative metamorphic rocks of basaltic protoliths in Fig. 7 (TS02-05, a retrograde epidote blueschist and TS02-47, a highly eclogitic omphacite from Group 1). Although large uncertainties exist as a result of mineral compositional variability in a given rock and unanalyzed accessory minerals like zircon and apatite, most of the trace element budgets in Fig. 7 are reasonably well constrained. Reconstructed HFSEs are poorly constrained and not shown in Fig. 7. The poor constraint on Zr and Hf is likely due to zircons that are unanalyzed, and the poor constraint on Nb and Ta is caused by less reliable analytical data for rutile with too small size for analysis.

Garnet is the main host for HREEs. Phengitic muscovite and paragonite essentially accommodate all of the Ba, Rb and Cs; the former hosting the majority of these elements (e.g., Fig. 7a). Paragonite can also host a significant portion of Sr and Pb (Fig. 7a). Epidote group minerals contain more than 95% of LREEs, Th, U, Pb and Sr, around 60% of MREEs and less than 30% of HREEs in the bulk rock. Concentrations of Pb and Sr in clinozoisite are respectively ~20 times and ~4 times those in the coexisting paragonite in equilibrium (Fig. 6b). Three groups can be accommodate some REEs, Sr and Pb, especially HREEs, e.g., up to 30% in retrograde titanite that replaced rims of garnet (Figs. 2h, 7b). Although omphacite and amphibole can volumetrically constitute a large proportion of mineral assemblages, they contribute little to the bulk-rock trace element budgets owing to their very low contents of all the trace elements but Li and Be (not shown in Fig. 7).

5.2. Elemental responses to SZM

5.2.1. Mobility/immobility and variations of K, Ba, Rb and Cs

The K and Na abundances in the protolith governed the presence and amount of phengitic muscovite and paragonite respectively in metamorphic rocks we studied, but concentrations of Ba, Rb and Cs in the protolith can also affect the concentrations of these elements in white micas. However, once elements are stabilized in the mineral host, the relevant mineral trace element content and the mineral modal abundance determine the bulk-rock abundances of these elements in metamorphic rocks as shown in Fig. 7. Therefore, K, Ba, Rb and Cs in white micas largely account for their bulk-rock abundances.

The petrography suggests that the compositional differences of white mica grains within a rock of basaltic protolith may reflect their formation at different metamorphic stages (e.g., white micas with exotic compositions are of retrograde origin). That is, the Ba–Rb–Cs contents of white micas within a metamorphic rock of basaltic protoliths are not only controlled by the protolith composition, but also by fluid compositional changes during the SZM.
Based on detailed petrography, we can classify white micas in rocks of basaltic protolith into three generations: generation 1 of white micas (G I) is represented by inclusions in garnet produced during the early prograde metamorphism; generation 2 of white micas (G II) are those crystals preserved in the omphacite matrix that may be equilibrated under late stage prograde metamorphic conditions; generation 3 of white micas (G III) is of retrograde origin. To properly evaluate the effect of metamorphism on mineral compositions, we need to isolate the effect of protolith control. For this, we choose several paragonite crystals of the three generations from one sample (TS02-30; see data in Fig. 6).
rock samples can be referred to Fig. 4h and Table DR2. Two red dot-dashed lines in each
analyzed composition with ±20%. In (b), Pb is not reconstructed because of the unavailable
observation that the scattered points in Fig. 5a,b represent retrograde
some Sr may leave to the fluid reported recently (Martin et al., 2011) may explain that
the variation of these elements is inherited from their protolith compositions or resulted from a small scale redistribution, indicating
the immobility of these elements in rocks of sedimentary protolith. By examining correlations among incompatible elements in bulk-rock compositions, Ba, Cs and Rb show different relationships with HFSEs in different lithologies (poor in meta-basalts vs. good in metabasalts). This also indicates different responses of these elements to the SZM in different lithologies on the basis of bulk-rock compositional studies for the same rocks (mobile in rocks of basaltic protolith vs. immobile in rocks of sedimentary protolith) (Xiao et al., 2012). Furthermore, by comparing rocks of basaltic protolith from the same group, the depletion of Ba–Rb–Cs in eclogites with less retrograde overprints (e.g., TS02-03B, TS02-47) and the enrichment of these elements in the highly retrograde blueschists (e.g., TS02-03A, TS02-05) indicate the loss during prograde metamorphism but the gain during retrograde metamorphism (see data in Table DR2 and Fig. 4h for comparison; Xiao et al., 2012). This implies the mobility of Ba–Rb–Cs during both prograde and retrograde metamorphism in rocks of basaltic protoliths.

As mentioned in Section 4.2.5, the late-formed (sodic–)calcic amphibole also shows generally higher Ba, Cs, Rb, Sr and Pb than the early-formed Na-amphibole (Table DR9 and Fig. 4f), clearly evidenced by the amphibole with growth zonings (Fig. 2g). Considering that retrograde white micas also show higher contents of Ba–Rb–Cs than do prograde ones, the higher contents of Ba–Rb–Cs and Sr in the late-formed (sodic–)calcic amphibole may be also attributed to the mobility of these elements in response to the infiltration of external fluids.

5.2.2. Geochemical behaviors of other key trace elements

As shown above (also Figs. 4b & 7), most of the LREEs, Th and U, and some Pb and Sr are hosted in epidote group minerals, which accordingly control the budget of these elements in the bulk rock. The stability of epidote group minerals thus affects the behavior of these elements during the SZM. However, the significant inter-correlations of LREEs, Th, and U (Fig. 6cd) but the poor correlations of these elements with Sr or Pb (not shown) in epidote group minerals suggests that the behavior of LREEs, Th and U is decoupled from that of Pb and Sr during the SZM.

During the SZM, because lawsonite, epidote group minerals, garnet, titanite and rutile are stable over a large P–T range (Fig. 3), REE, Th and U and HFSEs hosted by these minerals could be exchanged among these minerals and largely redistributed into the newly-formed minerals without significant loss from the system, resulting in their overall immobility throughout the SZM. In contrast, although epidote group minerals can contain high Pb and Sr contents (paragonite to a lesser extent; e.g., Fig. 7a), the low partition coefficient of Sr between lawsonite and fluids reported recently (Martin et al., 2011) may explain that some Sr may leave to the fluids that are in equilibrium with lawsonite. In addition, on the basis of estimated bulk partition coefficients for the reaction products, Feineman et al. (2007) calculated the composition of the fluids produced through specific dehydration reactions related to the breakdown of (clinzo)isite. The calculated fluids show consistently high Pb and Sr but variable LREE contents (Feineman et al., 2007). Take one of the main reactions during the blueschist-to-eclogite transition: 13 Gln + 6 Czs = 9 Prp + 26 Jd + 12 Di + 19 Coe/Qz + 16 H2O as an example (Reaction 3 in Feineman et al. (2007), the calculated bulk partition coefficients of Pb and Sr for the reaction products (Grt + Cpx + Qz) are much lower (i.e., 0.01 and 0.3 respectively) than those of LREEs (e.g., 6 for La, 15 for Ce) (Feineman et al., 2007). This indicates that Pb and Sr are mobile relative to LREEs during this dehydration. The mobility of Pb and Sr but the immobility of REEs,
Th and U is also consistent with our previous conclusion based on bulk-rock study for the same rocks (Xiao et al., 2012). The mobilized Pb and Sr are then carried by the released fluids, which are manifested by dehydration veins (John et al., 2008), and may further play a role in the enrichment of these elements in arc lavas.

5.3. Elemental (re-)distributions during subduction-zone metamorphism

The trace element budgets are controlled by key minerals in which these elements are hosted, which in turn depend on the protolith compositions, metamorphic conditions (pressure, temperature, fluids) and the SZM history. The trace element (re-)distributions in response to the SZM, as illustrated in Fig. 8, are discussed in this context as follows.

5.3.1. Constraints on prograde and peak metamorphism (P < 3 GPa)

We can summarize that under blueschist facies metamorphic conditions, the significant mineral phases for hosting incompatible trace elements are phengitic muscovite (LILEs, and some Sr and Pb), titanite (HFSEs, REEs, and, Pb and Sr to a lesser extent), and epidote group minerals (REEs, Th, U, Pb and Sr). In our recent work on metamorphic rocks from North Qilian Mountain, a high pressure (HP) metamorphic belt in NW China (Xiao et al., 2013), lawsonite was also reported as an important host for REEs, U, and Th in the blueschist facies. Although lawsonite was not found, the presence of lawsonite pseudomorphs (replaced by paragonite and clinozoisite) in the core–mantle portions of garnet porphyroblasts in our samples from Western Tianshan indicates previous occurrence of lawsonite during the early stage of the SZM. The prior lawsonite thus should be of significance for the trace element budget for rocks from Western Tianshan.

With the eclogitization (stage 1, i.e., prograde blueschist facies in Fig. 8a), significant amounts of H₂O would be released during the transition from blueschist to eclogite facies (e.g., Pawley and Holloway, 1993; Poli and Schmidt, 2002). As we have mentioned in Section 5.2.2, because of the low bulk rock–fluid partition coefficient of Pb and Sr for the specific dehydration reactions related to the breakdown of (clino) zoisite (Feineman et al., 2007), some Pb and Sr would be liberated into fluids and display their mobility (decoupled from LREEs), reflected by the loss in Fig. 8a. However, the REEs previously hosted in epidote group minerals will be redistributed into newly formed allanite and garnet (the dominant host for HREEs subsequently). Phengitic muscovite, together with paragonite, when formed will incorporate almost all the Ba, Cs and Rb (although some of these elements could be lost prior to the formation of white micas and display their mobility, shown as the loss in Fig. 8a). Additionally, titanite may become unstable and replaced by rutile at this stage, through which REEs are transferred into newly-formed garnet and allanite, while Nb and Ta are entirely redistributed into rutile. Although Gao et al. (1999), Gao and Klemd (2003) and Spandler et al. (2003) suggested that lawsonite may also have broken down at this stage, trace elements hosted in the prior lawsonite would largely, if not entirely, be conserved by newly-formed epidote group minerals (e.g., allanite) and garnet.

Up to the peak metamorphic condition (stage 2, UHP eclogite facies in Fig. 8b), a large proportion of REEs has been accumulated and sequestered in already produced lawsonite, epidote group minerals and garnet, and thus less REEs can be supplied to the late-formed relevant minerals, typically reflected by a general rim-ward decrease of HREEs in garnet (Fig. 4a; also King et al., 2004; Bebout, 2007; John et al., 2008; El Khor et al., 2009). As phengite could be stable even up to 10 GPa (~300 km; e.g., Sørensen et al., 1997), those LILEs hosted in phengitic muscovite formed during prograde metamorphism would be entirely carried by this phase into the deep mantle.

5.3.2. Constraints on retrograde metamorphism

During retrograde metamorphism, amphiboles (mostly [sodic–] calcic amphibole with some glaucophane), epidote group minerals and chlorite replaced garnet, omphacite and lawsonite (stages 3 and 4, retrograde eclogite facies and epidote amphibolite facies in Fig. 8c and d). The occurrence of retrograde white micas reflects the rehydration during retrograde metamorphism. The commonly higher Ba, Rb and Cs contents in retrograde white micas (e.g., Fig. 5a,b,e,f) indicate that re-enrichment resulted from rehydration, which is also reflected by the enriched bulk-rock composition of retrograde metamorphic rocks, shown as the gain in Fig. 8c,d (e.g., enriched retrograde metamorphic rock TS02-05 vs. depletet eclogite TS02-47 in Fig. 4h; also see Xiao et al., 2012). Pb and Sr may also have been re-enriched by rehydration. Retrograde epidote group minerals recrystallized at this stage. However, they may contain lower REE contents when all the lawsonite has reacted out, because less REEs are available as they have been largely stored in the epidote group minerals already present (although some more HREEs could be provided during the breakdown of garnet). Meanwhile, rutile was replaced by titanite, through which Ti, Nb and Ta would be transferred back to titanite again (also see Lucassen et al., 2010, 2011). Owing to the further breakdown of garnet (stage 4 and afterwards), HREEs would be largely taken up by the newly-formed retrograde titanite. For example, the titanite grains from TS02-05, together with chlorite, have replaced garnet (Fig. 2h), and their HREE contents (Fig. 4g) are even higher than those in the garnet they are replacing.

The relatively higher HREEs in the retrograde (sodic–)calcic amphiboles compared with the prograde glaucophane (Fig. 4f and Table DRA) may also be due to the breakdown of garnet, the most important HREE host.

6. Implications

6.1. On the geochemistry of arc lavas

Arc lavas have been widely accepted to be produced by slab-dehydration induced mantle wedge melting, which gives the typical arc signatures (enriched in Ba, Cs, Pb, Sr, U, Th and LREEs while depleted in HFSEs). Eclogites from Western Tianshan are considered to have experienced >2.5 GPa SZM evidenced by the occurrence of coesite (Lü et al., 2008, 2009). Even though no coesite has been found in our eclogitic samples, they were collected from the same locations with the same mineral assemblages, and thus most probably experienced the same metamorphic conditions. Therefore, they can be used to evaluate the geochemical consequences of subduction-zone metamorphism at depths greater than 75 km (see details in Xiao et al., 2012). The enrichment of Ba, Cs, Rb, Pb and Sr in arc lavas is apparently consistent with the relative mobility of these elements during the SZM as discussed above (also see Xiao et al., 2013). The geochemical behavior of LREEs during the SZM is controversial; they are claimed as immobile by many (e.g., Kogiso et al., 1997; Usui et al., 2007) and mobile by others (e.g., Brunsmann et al., 2000, 2001; John et al., 2004). Their different behaviors are mainly attributed to different mechanisms of fluid transport, i.e., channelized fluids may lead to the mobility of LREEs, especially if large amounts of fluids are present (e.g., John et al., 2008). In our study, because of the strong capability of epidote group minerals to house LREEs, Th and U (their main host), and the large stability fields of the minerals that host these elements (i.e., lawsonite, titanite, epidote group minerals), we have shown that metamorphic dehydration of the subducting altered ocean crust most likely has not contributed to the enrichment of LREEs, Th or U in subduction-zone magmatism up to 75 km depth.

These observations are important because it simply means that the standard “flux-melting” (simple slab-dehydration induced mantle wedge melting) may not be an effective means of producing arc magmatism (also see Xiao et al., 2012, 2013). A recent experimental study (Schmidt et al., 2004) shows that phengite could be dissolved in a supercritical fluid beyond the second critical endpoint (5–6 GPa for K–mica-rich lithologies of the subducted oceanic crust in Schmidt et al., 2004). Therefore, the supercritical fluids may carry phengite-hosted elements (e.g., K, Ba, Cs and Rb) to metasomitize the overlaying mantle wedge, and lead to further partial melting enriched in these
elements. Meanwhile, based on experimental studies (Hermann, 2002), the dissolution of allanite (an important epidote group mineral for LREEs, Th and U) at higher temperatures (~900 °C and ~1000 °C for meta-basalts and meta-sediments respectively), could lead to the high solubility of LREEs in the coexisting liquid (fluids or melts). Therefore, slab contributions may actually take the form of hydrous melts (e.g., Hermann and Rubatto, 2009) or supercritical fluids/transitional fluids (see definition in Schmidt et al., 2004; Hermann et al., 2006; Mibe et al., 2011) at higher temperatures and/or greater depths, under which conditions, LREEs, Th and U could be mobilized. Furthermore, in the standard slab-dehydration induced mantle wedge melting model, the enriched U and LREEs in arc lavas are considered as mobile elements by aqueous fluids, in which case, they are expected to have no correlations with immobile elements (e.g., Nb). However, in Fig. 9, U and La of arc lavas show significant correlation with immobile Nb, which contradicts the standard slab-dehydration induced mantle wedge melting model. Therefore, the correlations in Fig. 9 may also indicate that the formation of arc lavas is induced by the involvement of supercritical fluids or hydrous melts derived from the subducting oceanic crust/sediments (Hermann et al., 2006; Hermann and Rubatto, 2009).

In addition, through a detailed discussion on the apparent immobility of U, which indicates the form of U⁴⁺ (Bailey and Vala Ragnarsdottir, 1994), it has been inferred that the redox condition in subduction zones may be more reduced (Lee et al., 2003, 2005; Song et al., 2009; Xiao et al., 2012) than previously thought (oxidized condition in subduction zones, e.g., Wood et al., 1990; Brandon and Draper, 1996). In this study, we have shown that the immobility of U like Th and their significant linear correlations (Fig. 6d) are largely controlled by the presence of epidote group minerals (to a lesser extent, maybe lawsonite, based on our recent studies on lawsonite-bearing blueschists from North Qilian Mountain in NW China; Xiao et al., 2013). This suggests that U may take its U⁴⁺ form to substitute in epidote group minerals. However, further studies are required to understand the redox conditions in subduction zones and the implications for geochemical behavior of U in this context.

6.2. On mantle compositional heterogeneity

After the SZM, mobile elements have been lost with the breakdown/dissolution of their host minerals (Schmidt, 1995), while the relatively immobile elements conserved in the stable minerals of the dehydrated oceanic crust can be carried into the deep mantle. These altered mobile elements and conservative immobile elements have direct bearing on the deep mantle compositional heterogeneity as interpreted by the

---

**Fig. 8.** Schematic diagrams of element redistributions for rocks of basaltic protoliths (a–d) in response to the four stages of SZM given in Fig. 3, along with the sequence of different mineral appearance and disappearance (e). The red dot-dashed lines in (a)–(d) represent the assumed original bulk-rock composition before the SZM. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Fig. 9.** Correlations of Nb with U and La observed in arc lavas (data referred to Elliott, 2003).
geochemical variability of oceanic basalts (e.g., Weaver, 1991; Hofmann, 1997; Niu and O’Hara, 2003; Niu, 2004). Furthermore, if the element mobility is controlled by different minerals (e.g., phengitic muscovite for Rb, clinozoisite for Sr) or the element changes are controlled by different processes during the SZM (e.g., the involvement of supercritical fluids or hydrous melts for U, aqueous fluids for Pb), the ratios related to these elements in the residual subducted rocks passing through the SZM will determine the relevant elemental ratios in the deep mantle (e.g., Rb/Sr, U/Pb), that cannot be the same as in rocks entering the trenches prior to the SZM.

Our previous study (e.g., Xiao et al., 2013) and this work have revealed that REEs, Th and U are largely hosted in lawsonite, epidote group minerals and garnet (to a lesser extent, titanite), and Ba, Rb and Cs are hosted by white micas during the SZM. After the SZM, the Lu/Hf ratio in the residual subducted oceanic crust remains constant because Lu and Hf are both immobile, and should be largely conserved (Lu is largely in garnet, and Hf is largely in zircon and to a lesser extent in rutile). The Sm/Nd ratio could also be constant because Sm and Nd are hosted by the same minerals (e.g., epidote group minerals, titanite) and thus have similar geochemical behaviors. However, the Rb/Sr ratio is significantly modified because it is affected by both white micas (for Rb) and epidote group minerals (for Sr), while the U/Pb ratio may also be changed significantly because U and Pb are mobilized through different mechanisms (aqueous fluids for Pb vs. supercritical fluids/melts for U). Therefore, alteration during the SZM will result in the absence of correlations between Rb/Sr (and possibly U/Pb) and Sm/Nd or Lu/Hf. Actually, poor correlations have been observed in the bulk-rock composition of these metamorphic rocks from Western Tianshan, i.e., variable Rb/Sr (or U/Pb) ratios at constant Sm/Nd (or Lu/Hf) ratios in the representative residual subducted oceanic slab rocks (Xiao et al., 2012). These P/D ratios, with time, will result in similarly poor correlations between $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr (or $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb) and $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd or $^{176}$Hf/$^{177}$Hf in the residual slab materials subducted into the deep mantle. Therefore, although AOC is expected to contribute to mantle compositional heterogeneity, it cannot be the major source material for oceanic basalts because subduction-zone-processed residual oceanic crust will result in Rb–Sr decoupling and hence Sr–Nd and Sr–Hf isotopic decoupling, which cannot explain the first order Sr–Nd–Hf isotope correlations defined by oceanic basalts, in particular OIB (Niu and O’Hara, 2003; Xiao et al., 2012).

7. Conclusions

Garnet, epidote group minerals, white micas, and Ti-bearing minerals are the most important minerals hosting incompatible elements in the subducting/subducted oceanic crust and sediments. Their presence and stability largely control the geochemical behaviors of chemical elements in response to the SZM. Different fluid properties (e.g., aqueous fluids, supercritical fluids, hydrous melts) and resultant partitioning can also control elemental behaviors during the SZM. On the basis of our studies on bulk-rock compositions and mineral trace elemental data of the same metamorphic rocks from Western Tianshan, we further confirm that Ba, Rb, Cs, K, Pb and Sr are mobilized in meta-basaltic rocks, while only Pb and Sr are mobile in meta-sedimentary rocks with aqueous fluids (at depths in excess of 75 km in our studies); LREEs, Th and U can only be migrated in supercritical fluids or hydrous melts. Protolith composition, metamorphic conditions and metamorphic history, all together, control the mineral assemblages, the stability of these minerals, and hence the behaviors of chemical elements they host.

The common enrichments of U, Th and LREEs observed in arc magmas but the immobility of these elements during the dehydration of aqueous fluids indicate that hydrous melts generated at temperatures above the water-saturated solids or supercritical/transitional fluids beyond the second critical endpoint are significant in generating “arc lava signatures”. In addition, because elements such as LILEs and REEs are hosted in different mineral phases and/or because elements are released by different mechanisms, the AOC, after the SZM, cannot be responsible for the Sr–Nd (Hf) isotopic correlations observed in oceanic basalts and therefore cannot be the major source material for OIB.
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